

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 \* \* \*

4 Case No. 2:22-cv-00242-RFB-BNW

5 **REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

6 Anthony Teague,  
7 Plaintiff,  
8 v.  
9 Clark County, et al.,  
10 Defendants.

11  
12 The Court previously screened Plaintiff's complaint, dismissed Plaintiff's complaint, and  
13 ordered Plaintiff to file an amended complaint (if he so chose). *See* ECF No. 3. Plaintiff was  
14 given until September 22, 2022 to amend his complaint. *Id.* at 3. The Court advised Plaintiff that  
15 if he did not file an amended complaint by this date, the Court would recommend that this case be  
16 dismissed. *Id.* at 3-4. Plaintiff did not file an amended complaint.


17 Additionally, the Court ordered Plaintiff to update his address by September 27, 2022.  
18 ECF No. 6. The Court advised Plaintiff that a failure to comply with this order may result in a  
19 recommendation that this case be dismissed. *Id.* Plaintiff has not complied with this order.

20 IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED that Plaintiff's case be dismissed without  
21 prejudice and this case closed.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**NOTICE**

This report and recommendation is submitted to the United States district judge assigned to this case under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party who objects to this report and recommendation may file a written objection supported by points and authorities within fourteen days of being served with this report and recommendation. Local Rule IB 3-2(a). Failure to file a timely objection may waive the right to appeal the district court's order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: October 3, 2022



---

BRENDA WEKSLER  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE